

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/888,714	06/25/2001	Johan Smets	CM2380	8782		
27752	7590 10/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1751	1751		
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					,			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/888,714	1	SMETS ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Preeti Kum	nar	1751					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput of the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this of	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	April 2004.							
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	·—								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-12 is/are pending in the aday of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 7-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from con							
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			- •					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen			_						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	i) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai						
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/30/2004</u> .		5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/888,714

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1, 3-4 and 7-12 are pending. Claims 2, 5-6 are cancelled. Claim 1 is independent.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1,3-4 and 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over von der Osten et al. (US 6,015,783) is maintained for the reasons of record, and further explained below
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-4 and 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danielsen et al. (US 6,410,291) is withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment to the claims.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on April 5, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant's urge that von der Osten et al. fail to teach or suggest a detergent composition comprising a starch binding domain that is introduced into the claimed detergent composition as part of the cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme as set forth in the independent claim 1. Specifically, Applicant's urge that von der Osten et al. teach linking the catalytically active site of a CGTase with the SBD from another enzyme (See Applicant's Remarks page 5, In.10-16) and thus the prior art does not teach or suggest the material limitation to a starch binding domain that is part of the cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme.

However, the examiner would like applicant to note that the instant claims are drawn to a starch binding domain that is part of a cyclodextrin

Application/Control Number: 09/888,714

Art Unit: 1751

glucanotransferase and wherein the SBD is derived from glucoamylase of Aspergillus niger or betagalactosidase of A. awamori. The material limitations of the instant claims do not exclude a starch binding domain linked to cyclodextrin glucanotransferase. The instant claims as recited, are not limited to a starch binding domain from a cyclodextrin glucanotransferase enzyme of a species in particular.

Thus, the prior art suggestion of a detergent composition comprising a cyclodextrin glucanotransferase linked to a starch binding domain derived from glucoamylase of Aspergillus niger is sufficient to anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims. Also, as stated in the first non-final rejection dated February 28, 2002, von der Osten provide motivation to incorporate any amylase enzyme into the detergent composition at a level from 0.00001% to 2% of enzyme protein by weight of the composition which encompasses the amount rected by the newly amended claim. See col.18-19.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Art Unit: 1751

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

HUOLOGY CENTER 1700

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751